Facilities Funding Plan & Recommendations

Finance, Funding, & Partnership Workgroup

Lead: Tito Langston **Co-Lead:** Kevin Woods

Members: Miska Clay Bibbs, Tom Moreno, Mark White, Raumesh Akbari, Sutton Mora, Ernest

Strickland, Cardell Orrin

Purpose

To develop a sustainable, equitable, and transparent funding strategy to support the district's comprehensive facilities plan — addressing maintenance, restoration, construction, demolition, and reuse — while leveraging public and private partnerships to ensure long-term community and financial health.

Funding Framework

1. Assessment of Financial Needs

- Conduct a full audit of current facilities, identifying deferred maintenance, consolidation, demolition, or reuse opportunities.
- Develop multiyear projections for repairs, closures, demolitions, and new construction.

2. Funding Mechanisms

- **Public Financing:** Collaborate with Shelby County Government to explore a \$750 million bond issuance over 7–10 years and assess the creation of a joint construction authority.
- **Revenue Generation:** Sell or lease underutilized facilities, including ground leases, and include demolition costs in closure budgets.
- Private & Philanthropic Partnerships: Engage foundations and corporate partners through a Capital Campaign.
- **Grants & Special Funds:** Pursue state and federal opportunities, particularly where reuse supports neighborhood revitalization or community infrastructure.

Public Funding & Advocacy

- Public funding remains the foundation of the district's facilities plan.
- Establish an advocacy strategy among district leadership, business, and civic partners to build support for sustained facilities investment.
- Advocacy strategies should include a communications plan to highlight educational, economic, and community benefits of facility investments and the challenges of current facility conditions.
- Integrate clear public messaging connecting facility modernization to improved student outcomes, workforce readiness, and neighborhood vitality.

Private Capital Campaign & Partnerships

- Launch a capital campaign targeting approximately 10% of the total government investment.
- Conduct a feasibility study to determine donor capacity, set benchmarks, and refine the campaign structure (may already exist through other philanthropic resources or research).
- Utilize multiple giving pathways (e.g., SchoolSeed Foundation, Community Foundation of Greater Memphis, District Foundation) depending on donor type and intent.
- Employ an equity and community lens for corporate giving:
 - Align partnerships geographically to connect companies with neighborhood clusters or feeder patterns that reflect their community presence.
 - Target sector and corporate interests to engage businesses aligned with workforce and community development priorities.
 - Develop expectations for the portion of total facilities needs that will be raised through the capital campaign to ensure realistic, equitable, and measurable goals.
 - Campaign strategy will include schools of all sizes, targeting donors seeking both branding visibilities as well as those looking to honor community leaders.

Facilities Asset Stewardship & Reuse Strategy

- Ensure responsible stewardship of district assets by identifying highest and best uses for underutilized or surplus facilities.
- Prioritize educational reuse (charters, CTE, early childhood), followed by community-serving uses (housing, workforce training, nonprofit space).
- Explore opportunities to capture value or leverage revenue from appropriate reuses, with all proceeds reinvested in facilities improvements and equitable capital needs.
- Integrate demolition cost planning within closure budgets to ensure sustainable transitions.

Accountability & Oversight - Public Education Building Authority

- Explore establishment of joint government entity (a Public Education Building Authority or equivalent) to provide long-term coordination, accountability, and transparency for facilities investments.
- A joint government entity would serve as a district and county partnership providing central oversight of pooled public and private funds, aligning capital planning efforts, and ensuring adherence to the comprehensive facilities plan.
- The PEBA model would provide continuity beyond election cycles, ensure professional oversight, and create a transparent structure to manage large-scale facility investments over time.

Narrative: Building the Case

Communications and messaging for the facilities plan must tell a clear and inspiring story, focusing on community revitalization and student outcomes and utilizing compelling visuals to provide a vision for the future of education for MSCS.

- We are not losing a school we are gaining a stronger community
- Tie facility changes directly to academic, economic, and community outcomes
- Emphasize accountability and transparency to build public trust
- Use compelling visuals to tell the story renderings, maps, and data dashboards that show where and why investments are needed
- Feature student and community stories to demonstrate how facility investments improve learning and strengthen neighborhoods

Next Steps

- 1. Present the first draft of the Facilities Funding Plan to FAHC
- 2. Identify and engage key partners (Board, County, City, Community Leaders, Philanthropy, Developers, and other stakeholders)
- 3. Develop the Facilities Plan "story" with communication and design support
- 4. Advance exploration to draft PEBA structure and charter
- 5. Review need and opportunities for feasibility study
- 6. Form Capital Campaign Advisory Committee